



Gursewak Singh,
S/o Sh. Harbans Singh, Village
Batoi Kalan, PO Dhakala,
Tehsil & Distt. Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o PEPSU Road Transport Corporation,
Sirhandi Gate, Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o PEPSU Road Transport Corporation,
Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3581 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and Sh. Anil Kumar, Senior Assistant, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO and handed over a set of the information to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission. An opportunity was given to the appellant to express his grievance/grievances, if any, in the information supplied to him by filing a submission, in writing, to the respondent PIO and the respondent was directed to remove the same before the next date of hearing.

Neither the respondent PIO nor his representative is present in today's hearing.

The appellant, Sh. Gursewak Singh, through a letter dated 16.07.2019, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

Last opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing or it would be assumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to him and does not wish to pursue this case further and the case would be decided on merits.

The respondent PIO concerned is also directed to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 9th September, 2019 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Jasbir Singh,
R/o Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur
Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road,
Ludhiana-141123(Punjab)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Pb.
Sector-17C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate authority,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner,
Sector 17C, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3223 of 2018

Present : Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and Sh. Gural Singh, APIO, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO and orally stated that the requisite information was supplied to the Appellant on 04.02.2019 in person and accordingly another opportunity was given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative on the fixed date of hearing positively.

Neither the respondent PIO nor his representative is present in today's hearing.

The appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received the required information in this particular case. He also through a letter dated 16.07.2019, has acknowledged that he has received the requisite information and satisfied with the information supplied and asked for filing of his case. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Rakesh Kumar Gupta
S/o Late Sh. Deen Dayal,
Chamber No. 362,
Lawyers Chamber Complex,
Judicial Courts, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation,
B&R Branch, Zone-D,
Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation,
Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3609 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Avtar Singh, Junior Engineer, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and last opportunity was given to the respondent-PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per his queries raised by him through his RTI request,

Sh. Avtar Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 66 dated 11.07.2019 signed by PIO-cum-Superintending Engineer (B & R), Zone- D, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, showing that complete information has been given to the appellant, appended to which is a letter dated 10.07.2019 signed by the appellant as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information, through which he has given his consent to withdraw this appeal. He also submits another reply vide letter no. 69 dated 15.07.2018 signed by Public Information Officer (D) (B&R) Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, intimating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and complete information has been given to the appellant and the appellant has also shown his satisfaction over the same. Both the above said replies, alongwith acknowledgement receipt is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, has also sent a letter dated 10.07.2019 through an e-mail dated 16.07.2019 and requested the Commission that this appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn as he has received the required information.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**
Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Ravi Kumar S/o Sh. Dharminder Kumar,
House No. 107, Back Side Santpura Gurudwara,
Patiala Gate, Sangrur (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Regional Transport Authority,
Sangrur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3588 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the Appellant.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and none of the parties was present, accordingly, another opportunity was given to the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative on the fixed date of hearing.

Neither the respondent nor his representative is present in today's hearing.

The appellant, Sh. Ravi Kumar, also through a letter dated 08.07.2019, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No.13557 dated 15.07.2019, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

Another opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

Another opportunity is also given to the respondent PIO concerned to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case on the next date of hearing alongwith a copy of the postal receipt through which the information was sent to the appellant. He is also directed to bring a copy of the information, which has been supplied to the appellant, on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 9th September, 2019 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Yogesh Mahajan

S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan
Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market,
Mission Road, Pathankot-145001 (Punjab)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Superintending Engineer
Construction Circle No.1,
PWD (B&R), Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Chief Engineer
PWD (B&R), Patiala(Punjab)

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3377 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Aadesh Garg, Sub Divisional Engineer, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and a copy of the letter dated 20.05.2019, through deficiencies were pointed by the appellant, was handed over to Sh. Saroop Singh, Junior Engineer (the representative of the respondent) during the hearing in the Commission with the directions to the respondent PIO concerned to remove the deficiencies, which were pointed by the appellant and last opportunity was also given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative on the fixed date of hearing.

Sh. Aadesh Garg, Sub Divisional Engineer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that after removing the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant through letter dated 20.05.2019, complete information has been supplied to the Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan.

The appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, through a letter dated 15.07.2019, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he has received the requisite information. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Date : 16th July,2019



Ravinder Kataria

64, New Sant Fateh Singh
Nagar, Dugri Road,
Ludhiana. (Punjab)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Ludhiana (Punjab).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o State Transport Commissioner,
SCO No. 177-178, Sector 17-C,
Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3173 of 2018

Present : Sh. Ravinder Kataria, the appellant in person.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 21.05.2019 and the representative of the PIO, Sh. Amardeep Singh, Clerk, stated that the information could not be supplied to the Appellant, as the software's has been updated now onwards and the information which has been sought by the Appellant is in the previous version of the software, which is not found possible to be taken out and the Respondent/PIO was directed to appear in person or through any of his representative along with a reply in the shape of an affidavit and a copy of the postal receipt through which the information/reply would be sent to the Appellant.

Neither the respondent PIO nor his representative is present in today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 2523 dated 21.06.2019, signed by PIO-cum-Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana, which has been received in the Commission through ane-mail, has intimated the Commission that a reply in the shape of an affidavit has been given to the appellant, Sh. Ravinder Kataria. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Ravinder Kataria , who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received the reply in the shape of an affidavit and he has no objection, if the case is closed today itself. He also through a letter dated 16.07.2019, has acknowledged that he has received the required document. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Ravinder Kataria

64, New Sant Fateh Singh
Nagar, Dugri Road,
Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Faridkot (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner,
SCO No. 177-178, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3890 of 2018

Present : Sh. Ravinder Kataria, the appellant in person.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and the respondent PIO had sent a reply vide letter no. 915 dated 09.05.2019 through an e-mail, signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot, stating that no register is available in their office relating to the said period regarding delivery of R.C., Learning License and Regular Licenses. Moreover, whatever information is available in the official record, has been supplied to the appellant and no other information apart from the information supplied, is available in the official record as far as the queries raised by information seeker in his RTI request is concerned. As the appellant was absent on that hearing, the respondent PIO concerned was directed to send the above-mentioned reply to the Appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the fixed date of hearing. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative on the fixed date of hearing.

Neither the respondent PIO nor his representative is present in today's hearing.

After examining the case-file, it is found that the respondent PIO has already sent a reply vide letter no. 915 dated 09.05.2019 through an e-mail, signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot, stating that no register is available in their office relating to the said period regarding delivery of R.C., Learning License and Regular Licenses. Moreover, whatever information is available in the official record, has been supplied to the appellant. It is placed in the case-file.

The appellant, Sh. Ravinder Kataria, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received the above-mentioned reply and he has no objection, if the case is closed today itself. He also through a letter dated 16.07.2019, has acknowledged that he has received the required document. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Ravinder Kataria
64, New Sant Fateh Singh
Nagar, Dugri Road,
Ludhiana (Punjab)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner,
SCO No. 177-178, Sector 17-C,
Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.1334 of 2018

Present : Sh. Ravinder Kataria, the appellant in person.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala.

The appellant, Sh. Ravinder Kataria, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.

Neither the respondent PIO nor his representative is present in today's hearing.

The respondent PIO was also absent on the last date of hearing, held on 22.05.2019. He has also not sent any of his representative or any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the Respondent/PIO.

After examining the documents placed on record, it also emerges that the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of more than one year.

For holding guilty of violation under Section 20 (1) as per provisions of the RTI Act and for making delay more than 250 days in supplying the requisite information to the information-seeker, a penalty of Rs. 2500/- (Rupees Twenty Five Hundred Only) is imposed upon the concerned Public Information Officer of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala.

Contd...2/-

The amount of penalty to be deposited in the Treasury of Punjab Government under the head.....

Major Head .. 0070 -Other Administrative Services
Subj-Major Head .. 60 - Other Services
Minor Head .. 800 - Other Receipts
Sub-Head .. 86 - Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005
Detailed Head .. 0070 Other Administrative Services
60 Other Services- 800- Other Receipts-
86- Fees under the Right to Information Act, 2005

And a copy of challan receipt be submitted to the Commission by or on the next date of hearing.

Last opportunity is also given to the respondent-PIO to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post before the next date of hearing and submit a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant, on the next date of hearing. If any information is not available in the official record, he/she (the respondent-PIO concerned) will file a reply in an affidavit stating that whatever information is available in the official record, has been supplied to the appellant and no other information apart from the information supplied, is available in the official record or is missing as far as the queries raised by information seeker in his RTI request is concerned.

The case is **adjourned to 9th September, 2019 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**



Surinder Kumar S/o Sh. Lal Chand,
R/o Block No. D /8-501,
Maya Garden City,
Zirakpur, Distt.S.A.S Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda (Punjab)

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 1136 of 2018

Present : Sh. Surinder Kumar, the complainant in person.
Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 21.05.2019 and the representative of the Respondent/PIO, Sh. Nishant Joshi, Data Entry Operator, had handed over the requisite information to the Complainant during the Court. After going through the supplied information, the Complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar stated that this information is incomplete in nature. Accordingly, the Respondent/PIO was advised to represent this case by appearing in person or through any of his representative along with the postal receipt through which the information was supplied to the Complainant. The Complainant was advised to point out deficiencies, if any, after receiving the information from the Respondent/PIO and the Complainant was further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the fixed date of hearing.

Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, the required documents have already been supplied to the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar through registered post.

After examining the case-file, it is found that another similar case has been filed by the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar i. e. C. C. No. 1137 of 2018 and contents of the RTI request filed in C. C. No. 1137 of 2018 and in the present case, are the same and the respondent PIO had already submitted a common reply given vide letter no. 524 dated 20.05.2019 carrying the subject "regarding A. C. 1136 and 1137 of 2018", signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda, stating that the complainant has demanded information regarding Medical Bill and pending payment of GIS and the required documents have already been supplied to the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar vide letter no. 522 dated 20.05.2019. It is placed in the case-file.

The complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has not received any information from the respondent PIO till date.

As Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate (representative of the respondent PIO) has failed to produce any document, which shows that the required documents have been sent to the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar through registered post, the respondent PIO concerned is directed to send the above-mentioned reply (given vide letter no. 524 dated 20.05.2019) alongwith annexure to the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar through registered post at his residential address within three weeks from today and produce a copy of the postal receipt alongwith copy of the information supplied in the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the Complainant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be sent to him by the respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

The case is **adjourned to 11th September, 2019 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July, 2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**



Surinder Kumar S/o Sh. Lal Chand,
R/o Block No. D /8-501,
Maya Garden City,
Zirakpur, Distt.S.A.S Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

....Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Bathinda (Punjab)

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 1137 of 2018

Present : Sh. Surinder Kumar, the complainant in person.
Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 21.05.2019 and the representative of the Respondent/PIO, Sh. Nishant Joshi, Data Entry Operator, had handed over the requisite information to the Complainant during the Court. After going through the supplied information, the Complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar stated that this information is incomplete in nature. Accordingly, the Respondent/PIO was advised to represent this case by appearing in person or through any of his representative along with the postal receipt through which the information was supplied to the Complainant. The Complainant was advised to point out deficiencies, if any, after receiving the information from the Respondent/PIO and the Complainant was further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the fixed date of hearing.

Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, the required documents have already been supplied to the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar through registered post.

After examining the case-file, it is found that another similar case has been filed by the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar i. e. C. C. No. 1136 of 2018 and contents of the RTI request filed in C. C. No. 1136 of 2018 and in the present case, are the same and the respondent PIO had already submitted a copy of reply given vide letter no. 524 dated 20.05.2019 carrying the subject "regarding A. C. 1136 and 1137 of 2018", signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda, stating that the complainant has demanded information regarding Medical Bill and pending payment of GIS and the required documents have already been supplied to the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar vide letter no. 522 dated 20.05.2019. It is placed in the case-file.

The complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar, appeared in person in today's hearing.

After examining the case-file and hearing the parties concerned, it emerges that a similar case has been filed by the complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar i. e. C. C. No. 1136 of 2018, through which the complainant has demanded the information regarding his Medical Bill and pending payment of GIS for the period from year 2012 to 2018 and in the above mentioned case i. e. C. C. 1137 of 2018, he has demanded the information regarding his Medical Bill and pending payment of GIS for the period from year 2014 to 2018. As the contents of the RTI request, filed in the abovementioned case i. e. C. C. 1137 of 2018 include part of the information demanded in C. C. No. 1136 of 2018, which is to be supplied in that case i. e. C. C. 1136 of 2018.

In view of the above, the above mentioned C. C. No. 1137 of 2018 is not required to be taken, hence the present case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**



Sanjeev Kumar

S/o Shri Bachna Ram,
R/o VPO Boha,
Tehsil Budhlada,
Distt. Mansa-151503 (Punjab)

..... Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Secretary,
Pb. Subordinate Services Selection Board,
Sector – 68 (Forest Complex),
S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Secretary,
Pb. Subordinate Services Selection Board,
Sector – 68 (Forest Complex),
S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali (Punjab)

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1746 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Vikramjit Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and Sh. Vikramjit Singh, Senior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO had submitted a copy of reply vide letter no. 1001 dated 21.05.2019, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar vide letter no. 807 dated 04.04.2019 and again was sent to the Appellant vide letter dated 21.05.2019 through registered post. An opportunity was given to the appellant to point out deficiencies in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO and the respondent PIO was directed to remove the same before the fixed date of hearing

Sh. Vikramjit Singh, Senior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the PIO in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar.

After examining the case-file, it is found that the respondent PIO had already submitted a reply vide letter no. 1001 dated 21.05.2019, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information was supplied to the Appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar vide letter no. 807 dated 04.04.2019 and again was sent to the Appellant vide letter dated 21.05.2019 through registered post. It is placed in the case-file.

Contd...2/-

The appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, was absent on the last date of hearing, held on 22.05.2019. He is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.

In view of the above, it is assumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to him and does not wish to pursue his case further.

After going through the case-file, it is found that complete information has been supplied to the Appellant by the respondent PIO concerned time and again, which reflects that there is no malafide on the part of the respondent PIO in causing the delay in supplying the requisite information to the information seeker, hence, show cause issued to the respondent PIO is dropped and the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**



Harpal Singh Sodhi

S/o Sh.Dasondha Singh Sodhi
#15, Kalghidhar Enclave, Baltana,
Sub Tehsil Zirakpur,
Distt. SAS Nagar (Mohali)

.....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post)

O/o The Director,
Technical Education &
Industrial Training Deptt.(IT Wing)
Sector-36A, Chandigarh

Encl: letter dated 15.07.2019

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 1010 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the Complainant.
i) Sh. Bhajan Singh, Superintendent-cum-APIO (IT Wing) ;
ii) Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and Sh. Harpal Singh, Deputy Director-cum-PIO (IT Wing), appeared in person alongwith Sh. Bhajan Singh, Superintendent-cum-APIO (IT Wing) and Sh. Racchpal Singh, Senior Assistant (IT Wing) and brought the requisite information in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the complainant during the hearing. As the Complainant was not present on that day, the respondent PIO concerned was directed to send the requisite information to the complainant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission

Sh. Bhajan Singh, Superintendent-cum-APIO (IT Wing) and Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submit a reply vide letter no. 934 dated 21.05.2019, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has been sent to the Complainant, Sh. Harpal Singh Sodhi through registered post. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The Complainant, Sh. Harpal Singh Sodhi, through a letter dated 15.07.2019, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and also pointed out deficiencies in the information supplied. It is taken on record.

A copy of the letter dated 15.07.19 be sent to the PIO concerned alongwith this order through Registered post with the directions to the respondent PIO concerned to send the requisite information after removing the deficiencies, which have been pointed out by the Appellant, to him through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the Complainant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be sent to him by the respondent PIO) or not.

Last opportunity is given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing or it would be assumed that the he is satisfied with the information supplied to him and does not wish to pursue this case further and the case would be decided on merits.

The case is **adjourned to 9th September, 2019 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

Encl :

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**



Surinder Pal Singh
S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh
(Sr. Asstt., PRTC (HQ))
#166-C, Rattan Nagar,
Tripuri, Patiala-147004 (Punjab)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corpn.,
Head Office: Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority
-cum-Chief Accounts Officer,
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corpn.
Head Office; Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal No. 3578 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the Complainant.
Sh. Deepinder Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and the respondent PIO was directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 29.05.2019 at 11:00 A. M. so that the appellant could identify the required information and the respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the certified copies of the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him as per official record.

Sh. Deepinder Singh, Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 1816 dated 29.05.2019, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has been sent to the Appellant, Sh. Surinder Pal Singh through registered post. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The Appellant, Sh. Surinder Pal Singh, through a letter dated 15.07.2019, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and seeks an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to bring the information, which has been supplied to the appellant and other relevant official record in the Commission on the next date of hearing so that appellant could inspect the same during the hearing and identify the required information to be supplied.

Another opportunity is also given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 9th September, 2019 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**



Surinder Pal Singh
S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh
(Sr. Asstt., PRTC (HQ))
#166-C, Rattan Nagar,
Tripuri, Patiala-147004 (Punjab)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corpn.,
Head Office: Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority
-cum-Chief Accounts Officer,
O/o The Managing Director,
Pepsu Road Transport Corpn.
Head Office; Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal No. 3933 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of the Complainant.
Sh. Deepinder Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.05.2019 and the respondent PIO was directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 29.05.2019 at 11:00 A. M. so that the appellant could identify the required information and the respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the certified copies of the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him as per official record.

The Appellant, Sh. Surinder Pal Singh, is not present in today's hearing and he has not sent any intimation in this particular case, regarding his non-availability.

Sh. Deepinder Singh, Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 1815 dated 29.05.2019, showing that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has been sent to the Appellant, Sh. Surinder Pal Singh through registered post. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to bring the information, which has been supplied to the appellant and other relevant official record in the Commission on the next date of hearing so that appellant could inspect the same during the hearing and identify the required information to be supplied.

Another opportunity is also given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing positively.

The case is **adjourned to 9th September, 2019 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 16th July,2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**